

CITY OF FORTUNA TDA ARTICLE 3 GRANT SCORING SHEET

<p>1. Matching Funds (5 points possible)</p> <p>This criterion evaluates local support for the proposed project in terms of financial partnership.</p> <p>Is the city or county willing to match its request at 50% or greater?</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>2. Safety (20 points possible)</p> <p>This criterion evaluates how the proposed project will effect safety at existing facilities or improve safety by building new facilities. When describing the project conditions include any accident statistics and how the project will improve or correct the situation.</p> <p>Will the proposed project affect safety at existing facilities or improve safety by building new facilities? When describing the project conditions, include any accident statistics, pavement condition, maintenance issues and describe how the project will correct or improve the situation. Will the proposed project improve safety or correct an existing safety problem including providing secure parking for bicycles?</p>	<p>20</p>
<p>3. Project Readiness (15 points possible)</p> <p>This criterion evaluates deliverability of a proposed project. Please note that funds not used within two years must be returned for redistribution the following year or a City and/or County may request that the project readiness be reevaluated so that the City and/or County may retain their allocation.</p> <p>Describe if this is a new or continuing project. Is the project ready for construction or implementation in the next fiscal year? If the request is for maintenance of a regional facility, please provide the maintenance budget. Also, describe if past allocations have not been spent and if so, why not?</p>	<p>15</p>	<p>4. Special Considerations (15 points possible)</p> <p>This criterion is designed to add flexibility and allows applicants to be creative and discuss any other ways in which the proposed project will benefit City/County residents and visitors of Humboldt County. Examples include but are not limited to: improving air quality, reducing VMT, serving older areas without recent improvements, making major improvements to accessibility and/or to serve lower income residents. When discussing this criterion please be specific. This criterion is designed to allow the cities and County to be creative and discuss any other ways in which the proposed project will benefit residents and visitors in Humboldt County.</p> <p>Does the proposed project provide a benefit to City/County residents or visitors that has not been discussed elsewhere?</p>	<p>13.5</p>
<p>5. Maintenance of Facility (10 points possible)</p> <p>This criterion evaluates whether a proposed project will be maintained at an appropriate level after the project is completed. Please discuss whether the proposed project has a long range maintenance plan associated with it.</p> <p>How will the proposed project be maintained? If the request is for maintenance of a regional facility, provide actual cost details of prior year.</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>6. Connectivity (10 points possible)</p> <p>This criterion evaluates the proposed project's relationship to regional and/or local planned pathway systems. When discussing this criterion please include an "8 1/2 x 11"map illustrating the existing plan and the proposed project.</p> <p>Will the proposed project close a missing link in an existing local or regional bike or pedestrian plan? If requesting funds for maintenance of a regional facility, was the facility considered a missing link in a regional plan?</p>	<p>9</p>
<p>7. Regional Significance (10 points possible)</p> <p>This criterion evaluates whether the proposed project has local and/or regional significance. Regionally significant trails are those that serve as travel corridors, which connect communities and major destinations in the region.</p> <p>Is the proposed project regionally significant? If so, explain why. Are any other agencies involved in the planning or implementation of the proposed project? Please list the agencies and their involvement. If the request is for maintenance of a regional facility, were there any other agencies involved in the planning or implementation of the facility?</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>8. Traffic Generators (10 points possible)</p> <p>This criterion evaluates the proposed project's usefulness in serving major traffic generators.</p> <p>Will the proposed project serve major bicycle or pedestrian traffic generators such as schools, libraries, work sites, downtown areas, retail centers, transit nodes? If the request is for maintenance of a regional facility, does the facility serve major bicycle or pedestrian traffic generators such as schools, libraries, work sites, downtown areas, retail centers, transit nodes?</p>	<p>8</p>
<p>9. Multi-Modal Interface (5 points possible)</p> <p>This criterion evaluates the proposed project's connectivity to transit modes and other forms of transportation.</p> <p>How will the project encourage multi-modal travel? If the project is for maintenance of a regional facility, how does the facility encourage multi-modal travel?</p>	<p>4.5</p>	<p>TOTAL: 92</p>	